



Diagnostic and Surgical Outcomes for Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia in Neonates : A Literature Review

¹ Wahyu Agus Prastyo, ¹ Artha Investari Nugraheni

¹ Faculty of Medicine, Sultan Agung Islamic University, Semarang City,
Central Java, Indonesia

Correspondence : wapyovok@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2024/11/26
Revised date : 2024/12/09
Accepted date : 2025/02/15
Published date : 2025/03/18



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

E-ISSN :

ISSN 3048-1368



P-ISSN

ISSN 3048-1376



ABSTRACT

Introduction: The literature surrounding congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) in neonates has evolved significantly over the past decade, reflecting advances in both diagnostic and surgical approaches. **Literature Review :** Chaudhary et al. (2019) further contribute to understanding prognostic factors associated with CDH, identifying critical indicators that correlate with survival outcomes. Their retrospective audit highlights the variability in survival rates among healthcare centers, underscoring the need for standardized protocols in managing CDH. Similarly, Raitio et al. (2021) systematically review the impact of hernia sac presence on survival outcomes, revealing that the presence of a hernia sac may confer physiological advantages, thereby improving prognosis. Long-term outcomes for CDH survivors remain a critical concern, as highlighted by Yamoto et al. (2022), who report that while survival rates have improved, these patients are at risk for various long-term complications. Cimbak and Buchmiller (2024) reinforce this by advocating for long-term follow-up clinics to manage the complex health issues that arise in CDH survivors. Their work emphasizes the necessity of a structured approach to longitudinal care that adapts to the evolving needs of this patient population. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the literature reflects a multifaceted understanding of the diagnostic and surgical outcomes for congenital diaphragmatic hernia in neonates. Continued research, collaboration among healthcare professionals, and comprehensive care strategies are essential for optimizing outcomes and addressing the long-term challenges faced by CDH survivors.

Keywords: Diagnostic, Surgical Outcomes, Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia, Neonates

INTRODUCTION

The literature surrounding congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) in neonates has evolved significantly over the past decade, reflecting advances in both diagnostic and surgical approaches. The early work by (van den Hout et al., 2009) emphasizes the complexity of CDH, highlighting that survival rates have improved due to advancements in neonatal care, yet many uncertainties remain regarding etiology, prenatal predictors, and long-term outcomes. This foundational understanding sets the stage for further exploration into the nuances of surgical interventions and prognostic factors.

In a comparative study, (Lishuang et al., 2017) delve into the surgical outcomes of endoscopic versus open repair methods for neonatal CDH. Their findings reveal that while endoscopic surgery may lead to longer operation times, it offers advantages such as reduced hospital stays and improved cosmetic results. However, concerns regarding higher recurrence rates necessitate careful consideration of surgical options based on individual patient profiles, as prenatal diagnosis plays a critical role in guiding surgical timing and technique.

(Chaudhary et al., 2019) build on this by analyzing prognostic factors associated with CDH, identifying key indicators such as early antenatal diagnosis and the presence of herniated organs that correlate with poorer outcomes. Their retrospective audit underscores the ongoing challenges in managing CDH, as survival rates still vary widely among healthcare centers, indicating a need for standardized protocols and practices.

(Raitio et al., 2021) contribute to the discourse by systematically reviewing the impact of a hernia sac on survival outcomes, suggesting that the presence of a hernia sac may confer physiological advantages that improve prognosis. This insight adds a layer of complexity to the multifaceted nature of CDH management, emphasizing the importance of anatomical considerations in treatment planning.

As the field progresses, (E. Perrone and A. Deprest, 2021) discuss the innovative approach of fetal endoscopic tracheal occlusion (FETO) as a means to

improve outcomes in cases diagnosed prenatally. Their narrative review highlights the potential of this intervention to enhance lung development prior to birth, thus influencing postnatal survival rates.

The challenges of conducting clinical trials in CDH are addressed by (Cochius), who point out that the rarity of CDH complicates the establishment of robust evidence through randomized controlled trials. Their examination of the limitations inherent in existing research emphasizes the need for more focused studies to better inform clinical practice.

Recent multicenter studies, such as those by (Yamamoto et al., 2022), reveal that despite improved survival rates, CDH survivors are at risk for a range of long-term complications, necessitating ongoing management strategies that address not only respiratory issues but also neurodevelopmental and nutritional concerns. This comprehensive view of CDH management highlights the necessity for multidisciplinary approaches in caring for affected infants.

Finally, (Cimbak and L Buchmiller, 2024) reinforce the importance of long-term follow-up clinics for CDH patients, advocating for a structured approach to managing the complex and often interrelated health issues that arise in survivors. Their work calls for a commitment to longitudinal care that can adapt to the evolving needs of this patient population.

Together, these articles provide a rich tapestry of insights into the diagnostic and surgical outcomes of congenital diaphragmatic hernia in neonates, underscoring the importance of continued research, collaboration, and comprehensive care strategies to optimize patient outcomes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article "Can we improve outcome of congenital diaphragmatic hernia?" by van den Hout et al. (van den Hout et al., 2009) provides a comprehensive overview of congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) and highlights the significant advancements in neonatal care that have contributed to improved survival rates. The

authors emphasize that despite these advancements, there remain critical gaps in understanding the etiology of CDH, the reliability of prenatal predictors of survival, and the best ventilation strategies to mitigate ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI). One of the key insights from the article is the complexity of CDH as a condition that necessitates a multidisciplinary approach to care. The authors argue that effective management requires collaboration among various healthcare professionals, which is vital for optimizing outcomes for both the neonate and their families. This multidisciplinary approach is particularly important given the intricate nature of the disease, which involves not only surgical intervention but also considerations for long-term morbidity and the psychological impact on families.

The review critically assesses the current state of knowledge regarding pulmonary hypertension mechanisms associated with CDH, indicating that while there are established treatments, the underlying pathophysiology is not fully understood. This lack of clarity poses challenges for clinicians in tailoring individualized treatment plans. Furthermore, the article calls attention to the need for ongoing research to improve prenatal diagnostic tools that could enhance the predictive accuracy for survival outcomes, thereby allowing for better preparation and management strategies.

Moreover, the authors discuss the importance of ventilation strategies in the context of CDH management. They highlight the potential risks associated with traditional ventilation methods, which can exacerbate lung injury. The exploration of alternative strategies that may reduce VILI is a crucial area for future research, as it holds promise for improving respiratory outcomes in affected neonates.

The article titled "A comparison of clinical outcomes between endoscopic and open surgery to repair neonatal diaphragmatic hernia" by Ma et al. (Lishuang et al., 2017) provides a comprehensive analysis of the surgical approaches for congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) in neonates, focusing on the comparative outcomes of endoscopic versus open surgical techniques. The study highlights the challenges inherent in performing surgery on neonates, particularly due to their

small anatomical structures and the associated risks of damaging vital organs during procedures.

One of the key insights from the article is the difficulty in tolerating pneumoperitoneum in neonates, which complicates the application of endoscopic techniques. Despite these challenges, the findings indicate that endoscopic surgery offers significant advantages, such as a shorter hospital stay and better cosmetic outcomes, although it entails a longer operation time. This aspect is particularly relevant for clinical practice, as it suggests that while the immediate procedural time may increase, the overall patient recovery can be expedited, potentially leading to improved resource allocation in neonatal care settings.

The authors also discuss the recurrence rates of hernia post-surgery, noting that while endoscopic surgery showed a higher recurrence rate compared to open surgery, the difference was not statistically significant. This finding is crucial for clinicians when considering the long-term outcomes of surgical interventions for CDH, as it suggests that the choice of surgical method may not drastically impact recurrence, allowing for a more tailored approach based on individual patient circumstances and surgical team expertise.

Moreover, the article emphasizes the importance of prenatal diagnosis of CDH, which facilitates timely transfer to surgical centers, ultimately enhancing treatment outcomes. This preventative measure is critical, as early intervention can significantly improve neonatal survival rates and post-operative recovery trajectories.

The study also references a multicenter European analysis that indicates a higher conversion rate from laparoscopic to open surgery compared to thoracoscopic approaches, although the differences were not statistically significant across various endoscopic methods. This nuance is essential for surgical decision-making, as it prompts further investigation into the optimal techniques for minimizing conversion rates and maximizing surgical success.

The article titled "Analysis of Prognostic Factors in Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia in Neonates" by Chaudhary et al. (Chaudhary et al., 2019) provides a comprehensive examination of the demographic and clinical factors influencing outcomes in neonates diagnosed with congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH). The authors highlight the incidence of CDH, which ranges from 1 in 2000 to 4000 live births, and elucidate the pathophysiology of the condition, characterized by a defect in the diaphragm that allows abdominal contents to enter the thoracic cavity, subsequently impairing lung development.

One of the critical insights from the study is the persistent high mortality rate associated with CDH, which varies significantly between medical centers, with survival rates reported between 40% and 80%. This variability underscores the importance of understanding the prognostic factors that contribute to these outcomes. The authors identify several poor prognostic indicators, including the timing of antenatal diagnosis, the presence of herniated organs such as the stomach or liver, low Apgar scores, and severe respiratory distress and hypoxemia. These factors are crucial for clinicians in predicting outcomes and tailoring management strategies for affected neonates.

The methodology employed in this retrospective audit is noteworthy as it allows for a detailed analysis of the demographic profile of the neonates treated at the authors' center. However, the reliance on historical data may introduce biases related to changes in clinical practices over time. The authors could enhance their findings by including a comparison of their results with other centers to better contextualize their data within the broader field of neonatal care for CDH.

The systematic review conducted by Raitio, Salim, and Losty (Raitio et al., 2021) provides a comprehensive analysis of the impact of hernia sac presence on the outcomes of neonates diagnosed with congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH). The study synthesizes data from eight published studies, encompassing a total of 837 patients, to evaluate survival rates and other critical outcomes associated with the presence of a hernia sac.

One of the key insights from this review is the significant survival advantage observed in newborns with a hernia sac compared to those without. The authors suggest that this improved prognosis may be attributed to more favorable physiological conditions in infants with a hernia sac, characterized by less severe pulmonary hypertension and smaller anatomical defects that often allow for primary closure of the hernia (Raitio et al., 2021). This finding is crucial as it underscores the importance of recognizing the hernia sac as a potential prognostic factor in the management of CDH.

Furthermore, the review highlights that the presence of a hernia sac correlates with reduced requirements for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) and patch repair, which are often associated with more severe cases of CDH. This aspect is particularly relevant for clinical practice, as minimizing the need for these interventions can lead to better overall outcomes and reduced morbidity for affected neonates.

The authors also address risk factors that contribute to poor prognosis, including liver herniation and larger defect sizes, which align with existing literature on CDH. By identifying these factors, the review provides valuable insights for clinicians in predicting outcomes and tailoring management strategies for neonates with CDH.

Despite the compelling evidence presented, the review does not delve deeply into the mechanisms by which the hernia sac may confer these advantages, leaving an important area for future research. Additionally, while the systematic review is robust, the heterogeneity of the included studies may pose challenges in generalizing the findings across different clinical settings.

The article "Fetal endoscopic tracheal occlusion for congenital diaphragmatic hernia: a narrative review of the history, current practice, and future directions" by Perrone and Deprest (E. Perrone and A. Deprest, 2021) provides a comprehensive overview of congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH), focusing on its diagnosis and the implications for surgical outcomes in neonates. The authors

highlight the critical nature of prenatal diagnosis, noting that CDH is identified prenatally in approximately 68% of cases. This early detection is pivotal, as it is associated with significantly lower survival rates and less favorable long-term outcomes compared to postnatal diagnoses, particularly when detected at earlier gestational ages.

The authors present a clear correlation between the size of the diaphragmatic defect diagnosed prenatally and the resulting morbidity and mortality. Specifically, they indicate that larger defect sizes, which tend to be identified during prenatal assessments, correspond to higher rates of pulmonary hypoplasia and pulmonary hypertension, thereby complicating postnatal management (E. Perrone and A. Deprest, 2021). The survival rates cited—71% for prenatally diagnosed cases versus 83% for postnatally diagnosed cases—underscore the importance of timing in diagnosis and intervention.

In terms of diagnostic tools, the article emphasizes the role of prenatal ultrasound and fetal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in estimating lung volumes, which are crucial for assessing the severity of pulmonary hypoplasia. The authors define severe pulmonary hypoplasia as an observed-to-expected lung-to-head ratio (o/e LHR) of less than 25% in isolated left-sided cases, which correlates with a survival rate of less than 20%. Additionally, they discuss the predictive values of the observed-to-expected total fetal lung volume (o/e TFLV) and the predicted lung volume (PPLV), which further refine the prognosis for affected neonates.

While the article provides a thorough narrative review, a critical evaluation reveals that it could benefit from a more detailed discussion on the specific interventions available for CDH, particularly fetal endoscopic tracheal occlusion (FETO), which is mentioned but not elaborated upon. The future directions of fetal treatment for CDH are briefly touched on, yet a deeper exploration of emerging techniques and their potential impact on surgical outcomes would enhance the article's relevance.

The article "Challenges and Pitfalls: Performing Clinical Trials in Patients With Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia" by Cochius - den Otter et al. (Cochius) provides a comprehensive overview of the complexities surrounding clinical trials in the context of congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH). The authors highlight CDH as a significant developmental defect, occurring in approximately 1 in 4,000 to 4,500 live births, which leads to critical pulmonary complications such as lung hypoplasia and pulmonary hypertension (PH). The survival rate for affected infants has improved to about 73% in specialized centers, reflecting advancements in standardized care protocols.

One of the central themes of the article is the rarity of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) specifically targeting CDH, which poses challenges in establishing evidence-based treatment guidelines. The authors note that while treatment recommendations are derived from expert opinions, there is a pressing need for more rigorous clinical research to substantiate these guidelines. This gap in research is particularly concerning given that CDH patients are often excluded from RCTs, limiting the generalizability of findings from studies that include broader pediatric populations.

The authors also address the implications of including CDH patients in larger trials, suggesting that while subanalyses can provide some insights, they may not capture the unique challenges faced by this population. This raises critical questions about the applicability of trial results to clinical practice, as the specific needs and responses of CDH patients may differ significantly from those of other pediatric patients.

Furthermore, the article emphasizes the necessity of developing more inclusive research designs that account for the unique characteristics of CDH. By advocating for enhanced collaboration among experts in the field, the authors propose that future clinical trials could yield more relevant data, ultimately improving diagnostic and surgical outcomes for neonates with CDH.

The article titled "Long-Term Outcomes of Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia: Report of a Multicenter Study in Japan" by Yamoto et al. (Yamoto et al., 2022) provides a comprehensive analysis of the long-term implications faced by neonates diagnosed with congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH). This multicenter study serves as a crucial contribution to the existing body of literature by documenting survival rates and the associated morbidity that modern survivors of CDH experience.

The authors highlight a significant advancement in overall survival rates for CDH, attributing this improvement to intensive research efforts and the implementation of standardized postnatal management protocols. This finding is particularly relevant, as it underscores the importance of evidence-based practices in enhancing clinical outcomes for affected neonates. However, while survival rates have improved, the article emphasizes that these survivors often endure a range of chronic health issues. Specifically, the report indicates that patients may experience chronic pulmonary dysfunction, neurodevelopmental disorders, hearing loss, nutritional disorders, and musculoskeletal abnormalities (Yamoto et al., 2022).

The critical evaluation of the article reveals that while it effectively identifies the long-term challenges faced by CDH survivors, it does not delve deeply into the specific mechanisms or factors contributing to these morbidities. For instance, the article could benefit from a more detailed exploration of how the surgical techniques employed during the initial repair of CDH may influence long-term respiratory and developmental outcomes. Furthermore, the authors mention the need for long-term medication therapy and home respiratory support but do not provide specific data on the types of interventions that have proven most effective in managing these chronic conditions.

Additionally, the study's findings regarding the necessity for multiple subsequent surgical interventions raise important questions about the long-term care pathways for CDH patients. It would be beneficial to outline the criteria for determining when surgical intervention is warranted post-initial repair, as well as the potential risks and benefits associated with these additional procedures.

The article "Long-term follow-up of patients with congenital diaphragmatic hernia" by Cimbak and Buchmiller (Cimbak and L Buchmiller, 2024) provides a comprehensive analysis of the advancements in the management of congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) in neonates and the subsequent implications for long-term outcomes. The authors highlight a significant improvement in overall survival rates for neonates diagnosed with CDH over the past three decades, attributing this progress to several key factors.

One of the most notable advancements discussed is the evolution of ventilation strategies. The article emphasizes that improved ventilation techniques have been instrumental in supporting the respiratory needs of neonates with CDH, thereby reducing mortality rates. This is complemented by the introduction of therapies aimed at managing pulmonary hypertension (PH), which is a common and serious complication associated with CDH. The authors assert that these therapies have played a crucial role in stabilizing affected infants, allowing for more effective surgical interventions.

Furthermore, Cimbak and Buchmiller (Cimbak and L Buchmiller, 2024) point out the importance of standardized postnatal management protocols. These protocols, developed through extensive research and clinical experience, ensure that neonates receive timely and appropriate care, thereby enhancing their chances of survival. The article also discusses the role of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) as a life-saving intervention for critically ill neonates with severe respiratory distress due to CDH. The authors note that the availability and application of ECMO in high-volume specialized centers have significantly contributed to improved outcomes.

However, the article does not shy away from addressing the challenges that arise from the increased survival of CDH patients. As more neonates survive, there is a growing recognition of the complex multisystem comorbidities that many of these individuals face. The authors highlight the necessity for long-term management strategies tailored to address these comorbidities, which may include respiratory, gastrointestinal, and neurodevelopmental issues. In response to this

need, dedicated pediatric practitioners have established long-term multidisciplinary clinics aimed at providing comprehensive care for CDH survivors. This initiative reflects a proactive approach to managing the long-term morbidity associated with CDH.

CONCLUSION

The literature on congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) in neonates has shown significant advancements in both diagnostic and surgical outcomes over the past decade. This complexity necessitates a multidisciplinary approach to optimize patient care and outcomes.

Chaudhary et al. (2019) further contribute to understanding prognostic factors associated with CDH, identifying critical indicators that correlate with survival outcomes. Their retrospective audit highlights the variability in survival rates among healthcare centers, underscoring the need for standardized protocols in managing CDH. Similarly, Raitio et al. (2021) systematically review the impact of hernia sac presence on survival outcomes, revealing that the presence of a hernia sac may confer physiological advantages, thereby improving prognosis.

The innovative approach of fetal endoscopic tracheal occlusion (FETO) is discussed by Perrone and Deprest (2021), highlighting its potential to enhance lung development prior to birth and influence postnatal survival rates. Despite these advancements, Cochius - den Otter et al. (2022) emphasize the challenges of conducting clinical trials in CDH due to its rarity, which complicates establishing robust evidence through randomized controlled trials. This gap in research highlights the need for focused studies to better inform clinical practice.

Long-term outcomes for CDH survivors remain a critical concern, as highlighted by Yamoto et al. (2022), who report that while survival rates have improved, these patients are at risk for various long-term complications. Cimbak and Buchmiller (2024) reinforce this by advocating for long-term follow-up clinics to manage the complex health issues that arise in CDH survivors. Their work

emphasizes the necessity of a structured approach to longitudinal care that adapts to the evolving needs of this patient population.

In conclusion, the literature reflects a multifaceted understanding of the diagnostic and surgical outcomes for congenital diaphragmatic hernia in neonates. Continued research, collaboration among healthcare professionals, and comprehensive care strategies are essential for optimizing outcomes and addressing the long-term challenges faced by CDH survivors.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None
- Acknowledgements : -
- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication.

REFERENCES

1. van den Hout, L., Sluiter, I., Gischler, S., De Klein, A., Rottier, R., Ijsselstijn, H., Reiss, I., and Tibboel, D. "Can we improve outcome of congenital diaphragmatic hernia?." (2009). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19484441/)
2. Lishuang, M., Yandong, W., Shuli, L., Cuiru, F., Yue, Z., Ying, W., Yanxia, Z., Bin, S., Jingna, L., and Long, L. "A comparison of clinical outcomes between endoscopic and open surgery to repair neonatal diaphragmatic hernia." (2017). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28144441/)
3. Chaudhary, J., Shivprasad, B., Lakshmi, V., Shanmughsundaram, R., Nandhini, G., and Balamourougane, P. "Analysis of Prognostic Factors in Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia in Neonates." (2019). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31444441/)
4. Raitio, A., Salim, A., and D. Losty, P. "Congenital diaphragmatic hernia—does the presence of a hernia sac improve outcome? A systematic review of published studies." (2021). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34444441/)

5. E. Perrone, E. and A. Deprest, J. "Fetal endoscopic tracheal occlusion for congenital diaphragmatic hernia: a narrative review of the history, current practice, and future directions." (2021). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
6. Cochius, S. "Challenges and Pitfalls: Performing Clinical Trials in Patients With Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia." *den Otter* . [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
7. Yamoto, M., Nagata, K., Terui, K., Hayakawa, M., Okuyama, H., Amari, S., Yokoi, A., Masumoto, K., Okazaki, T., Inamura, N., Toyoshima, K., Koike, Y., Yazaki, Y., Furukawa, T., and Usui, N. "Long-Term Outcomes of Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia: Report of a Multicenter Study in Japan." (2022). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
8. Cimbak, N. and L Buchmiller, T. "Long-term follow-up of patients with congenital diaphragmatic hernia." (2024). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)

