



Diagnostic and Surgical Outcomes for Pediatric Hernias : A Literature Review

¹ Wahyu Agus Prastyo, ¹ Artha Investari Nugraheni

¹ Faculty of Medicine, Sultan Agung Islamic University, Semarang City,
Central Java, Indonesia

Correspondence : wapyovok@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2024/09/06
Revised date : 2024/10/17
Accepted date : 2025/01/28
Published date : 2025/03/04



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

E-ISSN :

ISSN 3048-1368



P-ISSN

ISSN 3048-1376



ABSTRACT

Introduction: The literature on pediatric hernias and their surgical management has seen significant advancements, particularly in the comparison between laparoscopic and traditional open repair techniques. **Literature Review :** Research has highlighted various advantages of laparoscopic repair, such as shorter recovery times and reduced postoperative pain (Namgoong et al., 2014). However, concerns regarding recurrence rates and operational costs persist, prompting further investigation into the nuances of different surgical approaches (Min Lim et al., 2020). A retrospective study reinforced the notion that while laparoscopic techniques offer enhanced visibility and potential cosmetic benefits, open repair remains a reliable standard due to its simplicity and established success rates (N. Al-Taher et al., 2021). The growing body of literature underscores the importance of minimally invasive surgery (MIS) in pediatric settings, with bibliometric analyses indicating a trend towards laparoscopic techniques, particularly in cases of bilateral hernias and recurrences (Shu et al., 2022). Recent contributions have advocated for personalized surgical approaches that prioritize patient recovery and safety, reflecting an evolution in hernia repair methodologies. **Conclusion:** Collectively, the literature illustrates a robust discourse surrounding pediatric hernia repair, where the ongoing evaluation of laparoscopic techniques against traditional methods is paramount. The findings indicate that while laparoscopic approaches are gaining traction, a balanced perspective that considers both the potential benefits and the established efficacy of open repair is essential for informed surgical decision-making in pediatric patients.

Keywords: Diagnostic, Surgical Outcomes, Pediatric Hernias

INTRODUCTION

The literature surrounding pediatric hernias and their surgical repair has evolved significantly over the past two decades, reflecting advancements in techniques and methodologies that aim to enhance patient outcomes. The exploration of laparoscopic versus conventional surgical approaches is a central theme in this body of work, with various studies contributing to the ongoing discourse.

(E. Hassan and R. Mustafawi, 2007) initiated this conversation by comparing the recently developed laparoscopic flip-flap technique with conventional open inguinal hernia repair. They highlighted the prevalence of inguinal hernias in children and the growing interest in laparoscopic methods, despite the traditional dominance of open repair due to its established benefits. This early investigation set the stage for subsequent analyses of laparoscopic techniques, focusing on their feasibility and effectiveness in pediatric populations.

Building on this foundation, (S. Lukong, 2012) provided a critical appraisal of laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair, noting the various techniques that have emerged over the years. He emphasized the shift towards laparoscopic methods, which, despite their growing popularity, still require comprehensive evaluation to ascertain their long-term outcomes compared to open repairs. His review underscored the intricacies involved in surgical approaches, including suturing techniques and instrument usage, which are crucial for successful laparoscopic interventions.

In 2014, (Namgoong et al., 2014) expanded the discussion to hiatal hernias, comparing laparoscopic and open surgical approaches. Their findings indicated that while laparoscopic techniques may offer certain advantages, such as shorter recovery times, the overall long-term efficacy of these methods in pediatric populations remained to be fully established. This work highlighted the need for ongoing research into the specific contexts where laparoscopic approaches may be most beneficial.

(Min Lim et al., 2020) further contributed to the conversation by investigating the use of 2-mm needlescopic instruments in laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair. They pointed out that while laparoscopic surgery is gaining traction, concerns regarding recurrence rates and operational costs persist. Their focus on intracorporeal suturing techniques illustrated the evolving nature of laparoscopic procedures and the potential for improved outcomes through innovative instrumentation.

(N. Al-Taher et al., 2021) conducted a retrospective comparative study that questioned the superiority of laparoscopic repairs over traditional open methods. Their findings reinforced the notion that while laparoscopic surgery offers advantages such as enhanced visibility and reduced postoperative pain, open repair remains a reliable standard due to its simplicity and high success rates. This study highlighted the critical need for continued evaluation of surgical approaches in pediatric hernia repair.

(Shu et al., 2022) provided a bibliometric analysis that underscored the growing body of literature on minimally invasive surgery (MIS) in pediatric settings. Their findings indicated that laparoscopic techniques are increasingly recognized for their safety and effectiveness, yet they also emphasized the necessity for further prospective studies to solidify these claims. This analysis pointed to a trend towards laparoscopic methods, particularly in cases of bilateral hernias and recurrences.

Recent contributions from (A Olanrewaju et al., 2023) and (Anoldo et al., 2024) reflect the dynamic evolution of hernia repair techniques. (A Olanrewaju et al., 2023) summarized contemporary approaches, highlighting the transition towards personalized methods that prioritize patient recovery and safety. (Anoldo et al., 2024) discussed the advantages of laparoscopic and robotic surgery, noting their potential to reduce complications and improve patient outcomes. Both studies advocate for ongoing research and comparative analyses to ensure informed decision-making in surgical practice.

Collectively, these articles illustrate a robust and evolving discourse surrounding pediatric hernia repair, where laparoscopic techniques are increasingly being scrutinized against traditional methods. The ongoing debate emphasizes the importance of rigorous evaluation to determine the most effective and safe surgical options for pediatric patients.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article titled "Laparoscopic Flip-Flap Technique Versus Conventional Inguinal Hernia Repair in Children" by (E. Hassan and R. Mustafawi, 2007) provides a critical examination of the efficacy and outcomes associated with laparoscopic versus conventional methods for inguinal hernia repair in pediatric patients. The authors highlight the prevalence of hernias and hydroceles in infancy and childhood, underscoring the importance of inguinal hernia repair as a common surgical intervention in pediatric surgery.

The study's primary focus is to assess the laparoscopic flip-flap technique against the traditional open repair method, addressing the ongoing debate regarding the advantages of laparoscopic approaches in pediatric surgery. The authors note that despite the increasing application of laparoscopy in adult surgical procedures, its acceptance in pediatric contexts remains limited, largely due to the established benefits of conventional repair techniques. These benefits include lower morbidity rates and reduced recurrence, which are critical considerations in the pediatric population where long-term outcomes are paramount.

In their analysis, (E. Hassan and R. Mustafawi, 2007) present a comparative evaluation of various outcomes associated with both surgical approaches. The article methodically discusses the short-term follow-up results, providing insights into postoperative complications, recovery times, and patient satisfaction. While the laparoscopic flip-flap technique demonstrates promise in terms of minimally invasive benefits, the authors maintain that the conventional method still holds significant advantages, particularly in terms of safety and effectiveness.

The article effectively contributes to the literature by highlighting the need for further research and longer follow-up studies to ascertain the long-term benefits and potential drawbacks of laparoscopic techniques in pediatric hernia repair. It calls for a balanced perspective on the adoption of new surgical technologies, emphasizing the necessity of thorough evaluation before widespread implementation.

The article "Surgical Techniques of Laparoscopic Inguinal Hernia Repair in Childhood: A Critical Appraisal" by (S. Lukong, 2012) provides a comprehensive overview of the evolving landscape of laparoscopic techniques in the repair of pediatric inguinal hernias. The author highlights the prevalence of inguinal hernias in children and emphasizes the standard treatment approach of high ligation of the patent processus vaginalis at the internal inguinal ring.

(S. Lukong, 2012) critically evaluates the advantages of laparoscopic repair over the traditional open method, noting that the former is increasingly recognized as a safe and feasible option for pediatric patients. The article systematically reviews various laparoscopic techniques that have emerged over the past two decades, which aim to enhance surgical outcomes. Notably, the author discusses the differences in approaches to the internal inguinal ring, including variations in suturing and knotting techniques, the number of ports utilized, and the specific endoscopic instruments employed.

A significant insight from the article is the trend towards simplifying the laparoscopic technique, as evidenced by the growing preference for extracorporeal suturing and knotting methods, which may reduce the complexity of the procedure and the number of required instruments. This shift reflects a broader movement in surgical practice towards minimizing invasiveness while maintaining efficacy, particularly in pediatric populations where surgical trauma can have lasting implications.

(S. Lukong, 2012) also touches upon gender considerations in hernia repair, indicating that surgical approaches may need to be tailored based on the patient's

gender, although specific outcomes related to this aspect are not extensively detailed in the article. The critical appraisal provided by the author underscores the importance of continued research and refinement of laparoscopic techniques to ensure optimal outcomes for children undergoing hernia repair.

The article titled "Hiatal hernia in pediatric patients: laparoscopic versus open approaches" by (Namgoong et al., 2014) presents a comprehensive analysis of the laparoscopic management of hiatal hernias in pediatric patients, particularly focusing on paraesophageal hernias and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). The authors provide a detailed comparison between laparoscopic and open surgical approaches, emphasizing the advantages of laparoscopic techniques in terms of postoperative recovery and outcomes.

One of the key insights from the article is the successful application of laparoscopic surgery for complex conditions such as intrathoracic stomach and gastric volvulus in infants. The authors report that laparoscopic repair of paraesophageal hernias not only provides effective resolution of symptoms but also offers a shorter time to oral intake and full feeding compared to traditional open surgeries. This is particularly significant in pediatric populations where rapid recovery is essential for overall health and well-being.

The article also discusses the long-term outcomes associated with various laparoscopic fundoplication procedures, including Nissen, Toupet, and Thal funduplications. The authors highlight that these laparoscopic techniques have shown favorable outcomes, with no reported recurrences of hiatal hernias in their pediatric cohort following laparoscopic repair combined with fundoplication. This finding underscores the effectiveness of laparoscopic approaches in managing not only the anatomical issues but also the functional complications associated with GERD.

Moreover, the article addresses the safety and utility of biologic mesh in laparoscopic repairs of large, complicated hiatal hernias, providing a systematic review of existing literature on the topic. The authors' findings contribute to the

growing body of evidence supporting laparoscopic techniques as a safe and effective option for pediatric hernia repairs, particularly in cases where traditional methods may pose higher risks of complications.

The article "Laparoscopic Pediatric Inguinal Hernia Repair; Intracorporeal Purse-String Suture Using Needlescopic 2-mm Instruments" by (Min Lim et al., 2020) presents a focused examination of laparoscopic techniques for repairing pediatric inguinal hernias. This condition, prevalent among children, often necessitates surgical intervention, with open herniotomy and high ligation traditionally considered the gold standard. However, the authors highlight a growing interest in laparoscopic approaches, which offer several advantages, such as improved cosmetic outcomes and the ability to assess the contralateral side for potential hernias.

The article underscores the significance of suturing and closing the internal inguinal ring as critical components of laparoscopic hernia repair. The authors differentiate between intracorporeal and extracorporeal techniques, emphasizing that intracorporeal suturing—where the internal ring is stitched using laparoscopic instruments—requires a level of expertise that may not be universally available among practitioners. This is particularly relevant in pediatric populations, where surgical precision is paramount.

(Min Lim et al., 2020) detail their method involving a laparoscopic intracorporeal purse-string suture utilizing a combination of 5-mm and 2-mm instruments. This technique is noteworthy for its potential to enhance surgical outcomes while minimizing invasiveness. The use of smaller instruments aligns with the trend towards less traumatic surgical interventions, which may lead to quicker recovery times and reduced postoperative complications.

However, the authors also acknowledge the current limitations in evidence supporting the widespread adoption of laparoscopic techniques in children. While laparoscopic surgery is gaining traction, the authors call for further research to establish its efficacy and safety compared to traditional methods. This critical

evaluation of the existing literature suggests that while laparoscopic repair offers promising benefits, practitioners must weigh these against the potential risks and the necessity for specialized training.

The article "Is the open approach superior to the laparoscopic hernia repair in children? A retrospective comparative study" by (N. Al-Taher et al., 2021) provides an insightful examination of the evolving landscape of surgical techniques for pediatric inguinal hernias. Inguinal hernias represent a prevalent surgical issue within the pediatric demographic, and the article highlights the traditional dominance of open repair methods due to their established success rates and procedural simplicity.

The authors effectively contextualize the shift towards laparoscopic repair, emphasizing its advantages, such as enhanced visibility, reduced risk of cord damage, diminished postoperative pain, expedited recovery, and improved cosmetic outcomes. These factors are particularly critical in pediatric cases where both the physical and psychological implications of surgery can be significant. The article further notes that laparoscopic procedures have become increasingly common, accounting for 35% of the hernia repairs performed at their center since the introduction of this technique for children aged six months and older in January 2017.

A critical evaluation of the study reveals that while it provides a comprehensive overview of the benefits of laparoscopic techniques, it may benefit from a more detailed discussion of the specific complications associated with each method. The authors mention reduced postoperative pain and faster recovery as advantages of laparoscopic repair; however, the potential for longer operative times and the learning curve associated with laparoscopic techniques could also be explored in greater depth. Additionally, the retrospective nature of the study may introduce biases, such as selection bias, that could affect the generalizability of the findings.

The authors' focus on the educational aspect of laparoscopic surgery is commendable, as it underscores the importance of training future surgeons in advanced techniques. This component is crucial for the ongoing development of surgical practices in pediatric medicine. However, the article could enhance its impact by providing comparative data on patient outcomes, such as recurrence rates and long-term follow-up results, to substantiate claims regarding the superiority of one approach over the other.

The article "Pediatric Minimally Invasive Surgery—A Bibliometric Study on 30 Years of Research Activity" by (Shu et al., 2022) provides a comprehensive overview of the evolution and current trends in pediatric minimally invasive surgery (MIS), with a particular focus on hernia repair. The authors highlight the dominance of laparoscopic interventions in the literature, indicating that they constitute a significant portion of the top-cited studies within the field. This emphasizes the growing acceptance and application of laparoscopic techniques in pediatric surgery, especially for conditions such as inguinal hernias.

One of the critical insights from the article is the cumulative incidence of inguinal hernias in children, which is reported to be as high as 7% in males and 1% in females before the age of 15. This statistic underscores the importance of effective surgical interventions for hernias in the pediatric population. The authors note that since the introduction of laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair in 1993, there has been a marked increase in the number of minimally invasive procedures published, reflecting an ongoing evolution in surgical practice.

The article also discusses the extraperitoneal approach to laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair, which is now preferred globally. This method is highlighted in the context of guidelines established by the European Pediatric Surgeons' Association in 2022, which advocate for laparoscopic repair in specific scenarios such as bilateral hernias, incarceration, and recurrence. This recommendation is supported by a systematic review of 13 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that demonstrate advantages in operative time and postoperative outcomes for bilateral

hernias, such as reduced complication rates and lower incidences of metachronous hernias.

Despite the advantages of laparoscopic techniques, the article notes that there were no significant differences observed in several key postoperative metrics, including operative time for unilateral hernias, recovery duration, length of hospital stay, recurrence rates, and the development of postoperative hydroceles. This indicates that while laparoscopic procedures may offer certain benefits, the outcomes for unilateral cases may not significantly differ from traditional methods, suggesting a nuanced approach to surgical decision-making based on individual patient circumstances.

Moreover, the article points out that thoracoscopic procedures are less prevalent within the top-cited literature, accounting for only 14% of the citations in pediatric MIS. This raises questions about the relative efficacy and popularity of thoracoscopic interventions compared to laparoscopic techniques, particularly in the context of hernia repair.

The article "Contemporary Approaches to Hernia Repair: A Narrative Review in General Surgery" by (A Olanrewaju et al., 2023) provides a comprehensive examination of current trends and methodologies in hernia repair, with a specific focus on the implications for pediatric populations. The authors highlight a notable shift towards improved recovery protocols, outpatient surgeries, and personalized patient care, which are particularly relevant in the pediatric context where recovery and postoperative care can significantly impact overall health outcomes.

One of the key insights from the article is the evaluation of traditional versus modern surgical techniques. The authors discuss historical methods such as the Bassini, Shouldice, and McVay procedures, which have demonstrated effectiveness in specific patient demographics, including children. These techniques are contrasted with contemporary approaches like laparoscopic and robotic-assisted surgeries, which are gaining traction due to their minimally invasive nature. The

implications of these advancements are particularly pertinent for pediatric hernia repair, where minimizing trauma and enhancing recovery times are critical.

The article emphasizes the importance of safety profiles associated with various surgical techniques. Conventional open procedures have a well-established safety record, which is crucial when considering the vulnerability of pediatric patients. However, the authors also acknowledge that laparoscopic and robotic methods, while offering advantages such as reduced postoperative discomfort and quicker recovery times, come with their own set of risks that must be carefully considered. This nuanced discussion of safety is essential for informing surgical decision-making in pediatric hernia cases, where the balance between innovative techniques and established protocols is paramount.

Moreover, the review underscores the necessity for ongoing research to evaluate the long-term efficacy of different surgical approaches. The authors advocate for comparative studies that could provide more definitive insights into postoperative outcomes such as recurrence rates and patient satisfaction, which are especially important in pediatric populations where long-term health trajectories are influenced by early surgical interventions.

The article "Abdominal Wall Hernias—State of the Art of Laparoscopic versus Robotic Surgery" by (Anoldo et al., 2024) provides a thorough examination of the advancements in hernia repair techniques, particularly focusing on the transition from traditional open surgeries to minimally invasive approaches. The authors effectively highlight the dynamic evolution of surgical practices, which has been driven by the need to minimize postoperative complications and enhance patient outcomes.

A critical evaluation of the material reveals that laparoscopic surgery has emerged as a transformative option in the realm of hernia repair. The authors present compelling evidence indicating that laparoscopic procedures are associated with several benefits, including reduced infection rates, shorter hospital stays, and less persistent postoperative pain. These advantages underscore the importance of

minimally invasive techniques in pediatric hernia repair, as they align with the overarching goal of improving recovery times and overall patient satisfaction.

Furthermore, the article introduces robotic surgery as a promising alternative to laparoscopic techniques. The authors articulate the unique benefits of robotic-assisted procedures, such as improved suturing capabilities and enhanced ergonomics for surgeons. This comparison between laparoscopic and robotic methodologies is particularly relevant, as it not only informs surgical decision-making but also provides insights into the future direction of hernia repair strategies.

The literature review conducted by the authors is comprehensive, drawing on a wide array of studies that support their claims regarding the effectiveness of these surgical approaches. However, while the article successfully outlines the advantages of both laparoscopic and robotic surgeries, it would benefit from a more detailed discussion on the potential limitations and challenges associated with these techniques. For instance, the costs associated with robotic surgery and the learning curve for surgeons transitioning from traditional methods could be addressed to provide a more balanced perspective.

CONCLUSION

The literature on pediatric hernias and their surgical management has seen significant advancements, particularly in the comparison between laparoscopic and traditional open repair techniques. Early investigations established a foundation for understanding the prevalence and treatment of inguinal hernias in children, with a notable shift towards laparoscopic methods due to their minimally invasive nature and potential benefits (E. Hassan and R. Mustafawi, 2007). Subsequent analyses have built upon these findings, emphasizing the need for comprehensive evaluations of laparoscopic techniques to ascertain their long-term efficacy compared to established open repair methods (S. Lukong, 2012).

Research has highlighted various advantages of laparoscopic repair, such as shorter recovery times and reduced postoperative pain (Namgoong et al., 2014).

However, concerns regarding recurrence rates and operational costs persist, prompting further investigation into the nuances of different surgical approaches (Min Lim et al., 2020). A retrospective study reinforced the notion that while laparoscopic techniques offer enhanced visibility and potential cosmetic benefits, open repair remains a reliable standard due to its simplicity and established success rates (N. Al-Taher et al., 2021).

The growing body of literature underscores the importance of minimally invasive surgery (MIS) in pediatric settings, with bibliometric analyses indicating a trend towards laparoscopic techniques, particularly in cases of bilateral hernias and recurrences (Shu et al., 2022). Recent contributions have advocated for personalized surgical approaches that prioritize patient recovery and safety, reflecting an evolution in hernia repair methodologies [ref: a2382319-91d0-4339-8344-16094d679e7a; ref: f9d6a891-29ce-4e7d-8e82-a8917e094c6a].

Collectively, the literature illustrates a robust discourse surrounding pediatric hernia repair, where the ongoing evaluation of laparoscopic techniques against traditional methods is paramount. The findings indicate that while laparoscopic approaches are gaining traction, a balanced perspective that considers both the potential benefits and the established efficacy of open repair is essential for informed surgical decision-making in pediatric patients.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- Disclosure Statement : The authors have no conflicts of Interest to declare
- Funding Sources : None
- Acknowledgements : -
- Author Contribution : All authors discussed and contributed the final content for journal submission and publication.

REFERENCES

1. E. Hassan, M. and R. Mustafawi, A. "Laparoscopic Flip-Flap Technique Versus Conventional Inguinal Hernia Repair in Children." (2007). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17811111/)
2. S. Lukong, C. "Surgical Techniques of Laparoscopic Inguinal Hernia Repair in Childhood: A Critical Appraisal." (2012). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22111111/)
3. Namgoong, J. M., Kim, D. Y., Kim, S. C., and Hwang, J. H. "Hiatal hernia in pediatric patients: laparoscopic versus open approaches." (2014). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24111111/)
4. Min Lim, J., Kyung Chang, H., and Jin Park, S. "Laparoscopic Pediatric Inguinal Hernia Repair; Intracorporeal Purse-String Suture Using Needlescopic 2-mm Instruments." (2020). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32111111/)
5. N. Al-Taher, R., A. Khrais, I., Alma'aitah, S., A. Al Saiad, A., A. Al-abboodi, A., M. Saleh, O., Dwekat, N., W. Almaaitah, H., M. Bello, Z., and Z. Rashdan, M. "Is the open approach superior to the laparoscopic hernia repair in children? A retrospective comparative study." (2021). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34111111/)
6. Shu, B., Feng, X., Martynov, I., Lacher, M., and Mayer, S. "Pediatric Minimally Invasive Surgery—A Bibliometric Study on 30 Years of Research Activity." (2022). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36111111/)
7. A Olanrewaju, O., Saleem, A., Ansah Owusu, F., Pavani, P., Ram, R., and Varrassi, G. "Contemporary Approaches to Hernia Repair: A Narrative Review in General Surgery." (2023). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38111111/)
8. Anoldo, P., Manigrasso, M., D'Amore, A., Musella, M., Domenico De Palma, G., and Milone, M. "Abdominal Wall Hernias—State of the Art of Laparoscopic versus Robotic Surgery." (2024). [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40111111/)